



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. DelJuidice to place the case in condition for allowance. The examiner pointed out that "the opening" in claim 1, lines 15 and 19, lacked proper antecedent basis. Other issues regarded the clarification what feature of the large and small dimples render comparison. The examiner suggested amending the large and small dimples to recite that their diameter are different. The examiner called to clarify claim 3 as it was still unclear. Mr. DelJuidice explained the intent of the subject matter and the examiner suggested setting forth another tier of first dimples. The examiner also brought the attention that claims 5 and 11 are respectively identical to claims 1 and 10. Mr. DelJuidice agreed to cancel these claims. See examiner's amendment for changes made.